



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,242	03/30/2004	Roger G. Sellers	71024-576	4836
59582	7590	07/14/2006	EXAMINER	
DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC 38525 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 2000 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-2970			AMIRI, NAHID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/813,242

Applicant(s)

SELLERS ET AL.

Examiner

Nahid Amiri

Art Unit

3679

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In view of Applicant's Amendment received 8 May 2006, amendments to the claims have been entered. Claim 12 is canceled. Claims 1-11 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,112,153 Gunn et al., in view of US Patent No. 2,635,906 Graham et al.

With respect to claims 1-6 and 9, Gunn et al. disclose a ball joint (Figs. 1-2) comprising a metal housing (34) having side wall (35) which defines a central bore having a closed end and an open end; (Fig. 7, column 5, lines 1-5) a metal bearing (32', Fig. 6) can be split into two halves as a lower bearing (32b) an annular metal upper bearing (32c); a moveable member (24) having a head end portion (46) disposed in said central bore and a shank portion (56) extending from said head end portion (46), the head end portion (46) engaging the metal lower bearing (32b) in said central bore, said shank portion (56) being at least partially disposed outside of said central bore; wherein the annular metal upper bearing (32c) disposed about said movable member (24) within said central bore, said annular metal upper bearing (32c which is a split half of the bearing 32') having an inner surface engaging said head end portion (46), an outer surface engaging said side wall, and a split segment (40) linking said inner surface with said outer surface; the metal housing (34) further include an axial lubrication port (38) disposed in the closed end of the

Art Unit: 3679

central bore; wherein the annular metal upper bearing (32c) is configured to engage the side wall and the head end portion (46) simultaneously; wherein the annular metal upper bearing (32c) is capable of being axially displaceable within the central bore; wherein the metal lower bearing (32b) is retained with the central bore by an interference fit; and wherein the lower metal bearing (32b) includes at least one lubrication slot (40) disposed on an inner bearing surface, and the annular metal upper bearing (32c) includes at least one lubrication slot (40) disposed on an inner bearing surface. Gunn et al. do not teach an annular cover plate disposed about said movable member and secured within said central bore; and a spring member compressed between the annular cover plate and an upper surface of the annular metal upper bearing; annular cover plate and said spring member are composed of metal. Graham et al. teach a joint ball (10, Fig. 3) having an annular metal cover plate (15) disposed about a movable member (12) and secured within the central bore, an annular upper bearing (13), and a metal spring member (14) compressed between the annular cover plate (15) and an upper surface of the upper bearing (13); (column 3, lines 48-50) wherein the spring (14) is capable of exerting an axial preload force on the annular metal upper bearing (13) towards the closed end of the central bore (28). It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the ball joint of Gunn et al. with an annular cover plate and a spring member compressed between the cover plate and upper surface of the upper bearing as taught by Graham in order to urge the bearing wall of the bearing ring to maintain it against the ball end of the stud.

With respect to claim 10, Gunn et al. disclose the claimed invention except that the housing includes a deformable annular region adjacent the open end of the central bore; and the deformable annular region adapted for radially inward deformation to secure the annular cover plate within the central bore. Graham et al. teach (Figs. 2-3) that the housing (10) having a deformable annular region (constituted by a shoulder 11g) adjacent the open end of the central bore; and the deformable annular region (11g) adapted for radially inward deformation to secure the annular cover plate (15) within the central bore. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the housing of Gunn et al. with a deformable annular region in order to secure the cover plate within the central bore of the housing.

With respect to claims 11, Gunn et al. disclose a claimed invention except that the annular cover plate including a chamfered inner surface to restrict articulation of the movable member. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the annular cover plate of Graham with a chamfered inner surface in order to restrict the movement between the movable member and the housing, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunn et al. and Graham et al. as applied to claims 1-6 and 9-11 above, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,997,208 Urbach et al.

With respect to claim 7, Gunn et al. disclose a claimed invention except for having a dust boot restrictor disposed about the shank portion. Urbach et al., teach (Fig. 1) having a dust boot restrictor (R, see attachment) disposed about the shank portion (12b-12d). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide the shank portion of Gunn et al. with a dust boot restrictor as taught by Urbach et al. in order to restrict the movement of the shank portion.

With respect to claim 8, Gunn et al. disclose a claimed invention except for having a flexible dust cover coupled between the housing and the shank portion of the movable member. Urbach et al., teach (Fig. 1) a flexible dust cover (60) coupled between the housing (12) and the shank portion (34) of the movable member (32). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to provide Gunn et al. with a dust cover as taught by Urbach et al., in order to seal the open upper end of the housing.


Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nahid Amiri whose telephone number is (571) 272-8113. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Nahid Amiri
Examiner
Art Unit 3679
July 5, 2006



DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600